REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing amendment, claims 19-50 are currently pending in this application. Claims 19, 20, 22, 23, 25-27, 30-32, 35, 36, 38, 39, 41-43 and 46-48 have

been amended.

Telephonic Interview

The Examiner is thanked for granting a telephonic interview with the

Applicants' representative on May 25, 2010. During the interview, the Applicants' representative argued that it was not clear where, in the 40 lines of text cited by the

Examiner in U.S. Patent No. 7,317,700 (Hwang), it was disclosed that at least one

control signal indicates: 1) a plurality of timeslots/transmission timing intervals

(TTIs) allocated for usage of high speed downlink packet access (HSDPA) channels,

and 2) a plurality of maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power levels corresponding

to respective ones of the allocated timeslots/TTIs. The Examiner was not able to

point out specifically where these two features of the at least one control signal is

disclosed by Hwang, but suggested that the claims be amended to more distinctly

claim what the control signal indicates. The Applicants' representative agreed to

amend the claims accordingly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 19, 21-22, 24-25, 27-30, 32-35, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46 and 48-50 stand

rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,317,700

(Hwang) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,934,268. The Applicants note that the Examiner erroneously indicated that claim 42 was rejected, whereas it is also

indicated as including allowable subject matter.

- 11 -

In an attempt to advance the prosecution of this application, as discussed during the telephonic interview held on May 25, 2010, the independent claims 19, 22, 35 and 38 have been amended to recite a control signal that indicates a first maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a first timeslot, and a second maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a second timeslot, wherein the HSDPA transmit power level of each timeslot indicated by the control signal is not allowed to exceed its corresponding maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level indicated by the control signal.

Hwang discloses a controlling radio network controller (CRNC) for a Node B that sends to the Node B a message conveying cell-specific HSDPA information indicating resources requested by the CRNC and a maximum allowed value for the combined power of a plurality of channels, (a high speed shared control channel (HS-SCCH) and each of the high speed physical downlink shared channels (HS-PDSCHs) into which a high speed downlink shared channel (HS-DSCH) is mapped), (see col. 3, lines 35-43). Hwang fails to teach or suggest a control signal that indicates a first maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a first timeslot, and a second maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a second timeslot. As mentioned above, the message disclosed by Hwang indicates a maximum allowed value for the combined power of a plurality of channels, but does not disclose corresponding maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power levels of individual timeslots indicated by the control signal.

Hedlund discloses a method for allocating and controlling downlink power in a telecommunication system. Hedlund also fails to teach or suggest a control signal that indicates a first maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a first timeslot, and a second maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a second timeslot.

Furthermore, as discussed during the telephonic interview held on May 25, 2010, the independent claims 25, 30, 41 and 46 have been amended to recite a control signal that indicates a first maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a first transmission timing interval (ITI), and a second maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a second TTI, wherein the HSDPA transmit power level of each TTI indicated by the control signal is not allowed to exceed its corresponding maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level indicated by the control signal.

Hwang discloses a controlling radio network controller (CRNC) for a Node B that sends to the Node B a message conveying cell-specific HSDPA information indicating resources requested by the CRNC and a maximum allowed value for the combined power of a plurality of channels, (a high speed shared control channel (HS-SCCH) and each of the high speed physical downlink shared channels (HS-PDSCHs) into which a high speed downlink shared channel (HS-DSCH) is mapped), (see col. 3, lines 35-43). Hwang fails to teach or suggest a control signal that indicates a first maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a first TTI, and a second maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a second TTI. As mentioned above, the message disclosed by Hwang indicates a maximum allowed value for the combined power of a plurality of channels, but does not disclose corresponding maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power levels of individual TTIs indicated by the control signal.

Hedlund discloses a method for allocating and controlling downlink power in a telecommunication system. Hedlund also fails to teach or suggest a control signal that indicates a first maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a first TTI, and a second maximum allowed HSDPA transmit power level corresponding to a second TTI.

Thus, based on the arguments presented above, the Applicants submit that

neither Hwang or Hedlund, alone or in combination, teach the features of the

amended claims 19, 22, 25, 30, 35, 38, 41 and 46.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 24, 26-29, 31-34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 42-45 and 47-50 are

dependent upon claims 19, 22, 25, 30, 35, 38, 41 and 46, respectively, which the

Applicants believe are allowable over the cited prior art of record for the same

reasons provided above.

Based on the arguments presented above, the withdrawal of the rejections of

claims 19, 21-22, 24-25, 27-30, 32-35, 37-38, 40-41, 43-46 and 48-50 is respectfully

requested.

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be

addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a

telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the

Examiner's convenience.

- 14 -

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, the Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 19-50, is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Rudolf et al.

By /Scott Wolinsky/ Scott Wolinsky Registration No. 46,413

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 568-6400 Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

SW/bbf